

## MATH 223

*Some Notes on Assignment 10*

Exercises 3, 7, 10, 15, and 16 in Chapter 4.

**3.** In our proof of part (e) of Theorem 4.1.1, we claimed that  $|g(\mathbf{x}) - M| < \frac{|M|}{2}$  implies that  $|g(\mathbf{x})| > \frac{|M|}{2}$ . Show that this claim is true.

*Solution:* Suppose that  $|M - g(\mathbf{x})| < \frac{|M|}{2}$ . Note that,  $|M - g(\mathbf{x})| = |g(\mathbf{x}) - M|$ . The inequality is then equivalent to

$$|M - g(\mathbf{x})| < \frac{|M|}{2}.$$

Adding the absolute value of  $g(\mathbf{x})$  to both sides we find

$$|M - g(\mathbf{x})| + |g(\mathbf{x})| < \frac{|M|}{2} + |g(\mathbf{x})|.$$

Using the Triangle Inequality on the left hand side of the inequality we get

$$|M| \leq |M - g(\mathbf{x})| + |g(\mathbf{x})| < \frac{|M|}{2} + |g(\mathbf{x})|$$

$$\frac{|M|}{2} < |g(\mathbf{x})|.$$

**7.** A naturally occurring idea is that a vector limit should be the same as an iterated limit; e.g.,  $\lim_{(x,y) \rightarrow (a,b)} f(x,y)$  should be the same as what we would get by first letting  $x$  approach  $a$  and then letting  $y$  approach  $b$ . Consider  $f(x,y) = \frac{xy}{x^2+y^2}$ , which shows the vector limit does not always behave this way.

1. Show  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0}(\lim_{y \rightarrow 0} f(x,y)) = 0$ .
2. Show  $\lim_{y \rightarrow 0}(\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} f(x,y)) = 0$ .
3. Show  $\lim_{(x,y) \rightarrow (0,0)} f(x,y)$  does not exist.

*Solution:* In the case that we want to take an iterative limit of a vector-valued function, we can treat all the variables not under inspection as constants and focus solely on a single variable.

a) If we first take the limit with respect to  $y$  and then take the limit with respect to  $x$  we find

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0}(\lim_{y \rightarrow 0}(\frac{xy}{x^2+y^2}))$$

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0}(\frac{0}{x^2}) = 0.$$

b) If we first take the limit with respect to  $x$  and the limit with respect to  $y$  we get

$$\lim_{y \rightarrow 0}(\lim_{x \rightarrow 0}(\frac{xy}{x^2+y^2}))$$

$$\lim_{y \rightarrow 0}(\frac{0}{y^2}) = 0.$$

c) Now if we attempt to find the limit of  $f$  as  $x$  and  $y$  approach  $(0, 0)$  simultaneously, we are able to find different answers depending upon the route we take towards the origin. Consider the limit as  $(x, y)$  approaches the origin along the line  $x = y$ .

$$\lim_{(x,y) \rightarrow (0,0)} \left( \frac{xy}{x^2 + y^2} \right) = \lim_{(x,y) \rightarrow (0,0)} \left( \frac{x^2}{2x^2} \right) = \frac{1}{2}$$

This is different from the limit we get if we approach the origin along the line  $x = -y$ .

$$\lim_{(x,y) \rightarrow (0,0)} \left( \frac{xy}{x^2 + y^2} \right) = \lim_{(x,y) \rightarrow (0,0)} \left( \frac{-x^2}{2x^2} \right) = -\frac{1}{2}$$

The limit only exists in the case that it is independent of the route taken towards the point of inspection; thus, the limit of  $f$  as  $(x, y)$  heads to  $(0, 0)$  does not exist.

**10** For the real-valued function

$$f(x, y) = \begin{cases} \frac{x^2 y}{x^4 + y^2} & (x, y) \neq (0, 0) \\ 0 & (x, y) = (0, 0) \end{cases}$$

show

1. the limit of  $f$  as  $\mathbf{h}$  goes to  $\mathbf{0}$  along the  $x$  or  $y$  axis is 0,
2. the limit of  $f$  as  $\mathbf{h}$  goes to  $\mathbf{0}$  along any straight line through the origin is also 0. [ Let  $\mathbf{h} = (x, mx)$  ],
3. but the limit of  $f$  as  $\mathbf{h}$  goes to  $\mathbf{0}$  along the parabola  $y = x^2$  is  $\frac{1}{2}$ .
4. Explain why

$$\lim_{(x,y) \rightarrow (0,0)} f(x, y)$$

does not exist.

*Solution:* a) To find the limit of the function as  $(x, y)$  approaches the origin along the  $x$  or  $y$  axis we can fix one of the variables to be 0 and take the limit as the other variable approaches 0. Inspecting along the  $y$  axis we have

$$\lim_{y \rightarrow 0} \frac{0^2 y}{0^4 + y^2} = 0.$$

If  $y$  is fixed to be 0 and the limit is taken as  $(x, y)$  head to the origin along the  $x$  we get

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{x^2 0}{x^4 + y^2} = 0.$$

b) If we substitute  $mx$  for  $y$  we get

$$\lim_{(x,y) \rightarrow (0,0)} \frac{x^2 y}{x^4 + y^2} = \lim_{(x,y) \rightarrow (0,0)} \frac{x^2(mx)}{x^2(x^2 + m)} = \lim_{(x,y) \rightarrow (0,0)} \frac{mx}{x^2 + m} = 0.$$

c) Now if we wish to examine the limit as  $(x, y)$  approaches the origin along the parabola  $y = x^2$  we need only substitute  $x^2$  for  $y$  to find

$$\lim_{(x,y) \rightarrow (0,0)} \frac{x^2 y}{x^4 + y^2} = \lim_{(x,y) \rightarrow (0,0)} \frac{x^4}{2x^4} = \frac{1}{2}.$$

d) The limit of  $f(x, y)$  as  $(x, y)$  approaches the origin is dependent on the path travelled; thus, the limit does not exist.

**15.** Suppose  $f$  and  $g$  are real-valued functions of  $n$  variables which are differentiable at all points of  $\mathcal{R}^n$ . Show that

1.  $f + g$  and
2.  $af$  for any constant  $a$

are differentiable on all of  $\mathcal{R}^n$ .

*Solution:* 15. a) If  $f$  and  $g$  are both differentiable functions from  $\mathbb{R}^n$  to  $\mathbb{R}$  then there exist  $1$  by  $n$  matrices  $\mathbf{m}_f, \mathbf{m}_g$  such that

$$\lim_{|\mathbf{h}| \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(\mathbf{x}_0 + \mathbf{h}) - f(\mathbf{x}_0) - \mathbf{m}_f \mathbf{h}}{|\mathbf{h}|} + \lim_{|\mathbf{h}| \rightarrow 0} \frac{g(\mathbf{x}_0 + \mathbf{h}) - g(\mathbf{x}_0) - \mathbf{m}_g \mathbf{h}}{|\mathbf{h}|} = 0.$$

Theorem 4.1.1. part a says that the sum of these two limits is the limit of their sums.

$$\lim_{|\mathbf{h}| \rightarrow 0} \frac{(f(\mathbf{x}_0 + \mathbf{h}) + g(\mathbf{x}_0 + \mathbf{h})) - (f(\mathbf{x}_0) + g(\mathbf{x}_0)) - (\mathbf{m}_f \mathbf{h} + \mathbf{m}_g \mathbf{h})}{|\mathbf{h}|} = 0$$

$$\lim_{|\mathbf{h}| \rightarrow 0} \frac{(f + g)(\mathbf{x}_0 + \mathbf{h}) - (f + g)(\mathbf{x}_0) - (\mathbf{m}_f + \mathbf{m}_g) \mathbf{h}}{|\mathbf{h}|} = 0$$

If  $\mathbf{m}$  is the the  $1$  by  $n$  matrix equal to the sum  $\mathbf{m}_f + \mathbf{m}_g$ , then this equality is precisely the limit of the difference quotient which ensures differentiability of  $f + g$  at an arbitrary point  $\mathbf{x}_0$ .

b) If  $f$  is a differentiable function from  $\mathbb{R}^n$  to  $\mathbb{R}$  then there exists a  $1$  by  $n$  matrix  $\mathbf{m}_f$  such that

$$\lim_{|\mathbf{h}| \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(\mathbf{x}_0 + \mathbf{h}) - f(\mathbf{x}_0) - \mathbf{m}_f \mathbf{h}}{|\mathbf{h}|} = 0.$$

If both sides of this equality are multiplied by  $\alpha$  they become

$$\alpha \cdot \lim_{|\mathbf{h}| \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(\mathbf{x}_0 + \mathbf{h}) - f(\mathbf{x}_0) - \mathbf{m}_f \mathbf{h}}{|\mathbf{h}|} = 0.$$

As stated in Theorem 4.1.1. part c, the scalar multiple of the limit of a continuous function is the limit of that function's multiple.

$$\lim_{|\mathbf{h}| \rightarrow 0} \frac{\alpha f(\mathbf{x}_0 + \mathbf{h}) - \alpha f(\mathbf{x}_0) - \alpha \mathbf{m}_f \mathbf{h}}{|\mathbf{h}|} = 0$$

If  $\alpha \mathbf{m}_f = \mathbf{m}$  then this equality ensures that the limit of the difference quotient for  $\alpha f(\mathbf{x}_0)$  exists, and that  $\alpha f$  is differentiable at an arbitrary point  $\mathbf{x}_0$ .

**16.** Show that the set  $\mathcal{L}$  of all real-valued functions differentiable on  $\mathcal{R}^n$  is a vector space.

*Solution:* To show that the set  $\mathcal{L}$  forms a vector space we must show that it is closed under scalar multiplication and element addition. That is, if  $f$  and  $g$  are real-valued functions on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\alpha$  is a scalar, then  $f + g$  and  $\alpha f$  are included in  $\mathcal{L}$ .

i) For the function  $f + g$  to be differentiable, there must be a matrix  $\mathbf{m}$  satisfying the

limit of the difference quotient at any arbitrary point  $\mathbf{x}$ . That is, there must be an  $\mathbf{m}$  such that

$$\lim_{\mathbf{h} \rightarrow \mathbf{0}} \frac{(f+g)(\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{h}) - (f+g)(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{m}\mathbf{h}}{|\mathbf{h}|} = 0.$$

Because  $(f+g)(\mathbf{x}) = f(\mathbf{x}) + g(\mathbf{x})$  we can expand the numerator on the left side to get

$$\lim_{\mathbf{h} \rightarrow \mathbf{0}} \frac{(f)(\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{h}) - f(\mathbf{x}) + g(\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{h}) - g(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{m}\mathbf{h}}{|\mathbf{h}|}.$$

Now letting  $\mathbf{m}$  be  $\nabla f + \nabla g$  this limit becomes

$$\lim_{\mathbf{h} \rightarrow \mathbf{0}} \frac{(f)(\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{h}) - f(\mathbf{x}) - \nabla f \mathbf{h}}{|\mathbf{h}|} + \lim_{\mathbf{h} \rightarrow \mathbf{0}} \frac{(g)(\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{h}) - g(\mathbf{x}) - \nabla g \mathbf{h}}{|\mathbf{h}|}.$$

By the differentiability of  $f$  and  $g$ , the sum of these limits exists and is equal to 0. Thus,  $f+g$  is differentiable and included in the set  $\mathcal{L}$ .

ii) For the function  $\alpha f$  to be included in the set  $\mathcal{L}$ , it too must be differentiable. Because  $f$  is differentiable, we know there exists a matrix  $\mathbf{m}$  such that

$$\lim_{\mathbf{h} \rightarrow \mathbf{0}} \frac{(f)(\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{h}) - f(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{m}\mathbf{h}}{|\mathbf{h}|} = 0.$$

If we multiply both sides of this equality we have

$$\lim_{\mathbf{h} \rightarrow \mathbf{0}} \frac{\alpha f(\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{h}) - \alpha f(\mathbf{x}) - \alpha \mathbf{m}\mathbf{h}}{|\mathbf{h}|} = 0.$$

Notice that this limit being equal to 0 is a sufficient condition for proving that the function  $\alpha f$  is differentiable at an arbitrary point  $\mathbf{x}$  with gradient  $\nabla(\alpha f) = \alpha \nabla f$ .